

## SC Journals - Peer Review Process

Peer review process guarantee that the journal publishes good articles that promotes the significance of the scientific community. It is the assessment of submitted manuscripts by experts in your field which is crucial to appraise the quality and aptness of manuscripts for publication. It is a very beneficial source of feedback that helps to enhance the quality of your paper before it is published.

All published articles have gone through meticulous peer review, based on preliminary screening by editors, refereeing by expert referees, and revision by manuscript authors when essential. Sometimes authors think that the peer-review process is unapproachable as it may lead to rejection of their submitted manuscripts. To combat this, revision of manuscripts after the improved or suggested incorporation is part of the complete review and publication process that helps in the improvement of the manuscript.

### **How it works:**

Submitted manuscripts will be peer-reviewed before publication. Peer-reviewed manuscripts are indexed in bibliographic databases (for example, Google Scholar).

1. **Submission of Manuscript** The corresponding author/s submits the manuscript on an online system and rarely by email. Authors are requested to propose (who are experts in the field) potential reviewers who can give unprejudiced reports on their manuscript.
2. **Assessment of Manuscript by Editorial office**

The Editor-in-Chief checks that the manuscript is apt for the journal, interesting and original. The journal verifies the manuscripts for plagiarism and cross-checks the manuscript if it is per the author guidelines of the journal or not. If not, the manuscript may be rejected without further review process.

3. **Reviewers are invited**

The editor-in-Chief or editor invites experts in the same area to review. Generally, two reviewers for one manuscript are necessary.

4. **Review process**

Once the potential reviewers who are experts in the subject accept the manuscript to review, they read the manuscript in detail. The reviewer may suggest revising (labeled as either minor or major) the manuscript and resubmitting it or may reject it.

#### **5. Journal assesses the reviews**

The editor considers all the reviews by the reviewers before the final decision. If the reviews vary widely, then additional reviewers may be invited to review the manuscript for a further opinion before the final decision.

#### **6. Communication of Decision**

The Journal editor communicates to the author for any revisions or acceptance or rejection of the manuscript.

#### **7. Revision and resubmission of the manuscript**

If the manuscript needs revision (usually minor revisions will be there), authors should revise and resubmit the manuscript and the reviewer will review the manuscript once again.

#### **8. Publication**

Once the manuscript is accepted (upon first submission or resubmission after revision), the manuscript is sent for publication and the same will be communicated to author/s.